

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/872,968	WANDS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thái-An N. Ton	1632	

All Participants:

(1) Thái-An N. Ton.

(2) Ingrid Beattie.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 October 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed the preliminary amendment, cancelling claims 1-20, and the current amendment, filed 5/19/03, which amends claims 12, and 16-20. Informed Ms. Beattie that the amendment of cancelled claims is improper and a NINA will be sent.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Robert H. Chen

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)